Board Policy

Evaluation Of The Superintendent

BP 2140 **Administration**

***Note: The following policy is based on recommendations from CSBA's Single District Governance Services and the CSBA publication Maximizing School Board Governance: Superintendent Evaluation. Provisions regarding the evaluation of the Superintendent should be included in the Superintendent's contract, consistent with the principles specified below. In the absence of evaluation language in the contract, this policy should provide the basis for the evaluation. ***

Note: The following optional policy should be consistent with the Superintendent's contract and should be revised to reflect district practice.

The Governing Board shall annually conduct a formal evaluation of the Superintendent's performance in order to assess his/her effectiveness in leading the district toward established goals. The Board and Superintendent shall establish an appropriate schedule for the annual evaluation process.

(cf. 0000 - Vision) (cf. 2121 - Superintendent's Contract) (cf. 9000 - Role of the Board)

Evaluation criteria shall be based on district goals and success indicators agreed upon by the Board and Superintendent prior to the evaluation. The evaluation shall provide commendations in areas of strength, provide recommendations for improving effectiveness, and serve as a basis for making decisions about salary increases and/or contract extension.

(cf. 2110 - Superintendent Responsibilities and Duties)

The Board and Superintendent shall annually consider what evaluation method(s) will best serve the district and agree on the specific written instrument to be used.

Prior to the evaluation, the Superintendent shall be responsible for preparing and distributing to the Board for its review a report of progress toward district goals, the Superintendent's self-appraisal of accomplishments and performance, and a review of action taken to address any Board recommendations from the previous evaluation. The Board shall also review the Superintendent's current contract and any relevant Board policies.

Note: The following paragraph should be modified to reflect district practice.

Each Board member shall independently evaluate the Superintendent's performance. The Board shall determine who will summarize and combine the individual evaluations to create a consensus document and how that consensus document will be formatted. The evaluation shall be a composite of individual Board members' opinions, but there shall be only one final evaluation representing the Board's collective judgment. This final evaluation shall be provided to the Superintendent for his/her response.

The Board shall meet in closed session with the Superintendent to discuss the evaluation. (Government Code 54957)

(cf. 9321 - Closed Session Purposes and Agendas)

The Superintendent shall have an opportunity to ask questions, respond verbally and in writing to the evaluation, and present additional evidence of his/her performance or district progress.

The Board president and Superintendent shall sign the evaluation as evidence that the evaluation has been discussed. The Superintendent shall place the evaluation in his/her personnel file.

(cf. 4112.6/4212.6/4312.6 - Personnel Files)

After each evaluation has been completed, the Board shall meet in open session to give the Board and Superintendent an opportunity to jointly identify performance goals for the next year.

(cf. 2111 - Superintendent Governance Standards)

(cf. 9005 - Governance Standards)

(cf. 9400 - Board Self-Evaluation)

Legal Reference:

GOVERNMENT CODE

54957 Closed session, personnel matters

Management Resources:

CSBA PUBLICATIONS

Maximizing School Board Governance: Superintendent Evaluation, 2004

WEB SITES

CSBA, Single District Governance Services: http://www.csba.org Association of California School Administrators: http://www.acsa.org

(10/94 7/01) 7/04

Adopted: April 14, 2010 Gravenstein Union School District Sebastopol, California